(36)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, CJ

WRIT PETITION No. 3512 OF 2006

PETITIONER Patiram S/o Amar nath, Caste Suryavanshi, aged about 42 years, R/o. Village Choriya, Tahsil – Champa, Distt. Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Firtin Bai, widow of Kashiram Suryavanshi, aged about 65 years,
2. Ram Lal S/o Mahadev Dewangan, aged about 30 years
3. Sant Ram, S/o Amar nath Suryavanshi, aged about 40 years
4. Krishna Kumar S/o Amar nath Suryavanshi, aged about 36 years
5. Narayan, S/o Amar nath Suryavanshi, aged about 34 years
6. Vishnu Lal S/o Amar nath Suryavanshi, aged about 34 years

All respondent 1 to 6 are R/o Village Choriya, Tah. Champa, Distt. Janjgir – Champa (C.G.)

7. Board of Revenue, Revenue circle Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur (C.G.)
8. The Collector, Janjgir, Distt. Janjgir Champa (C.G.)
9. Sub Divisional Officer, (Revenue) Champa, Distt. Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

Present: Shri Indrasen Sahu, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Utkarsh Verma, learned Dy. G.A. for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 18TH July, 2006)

This writ petition arises out of an order of the Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Champa, district Janjgir-Champa, 9th respondent herein, allowing the application of the first respondent for condoning the delay in making the petition to question the validity of the mutation entries made in

favour of the petitioner and his brothers who are respondents No. 3 to 6. The 8th respondent the Collector, Janjgir who is an Appellate Authority as well as Board of Revenue, the 7th respondent have held against the petitioner.

(2) Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner; I do not find any substantive ground to interfere with the discretionary order passed by the 9th respondent as affirmed by the respondents No. 7 and 8. The writ petition is devoid of merit and it is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Bjni